



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/205,115		12/03/1998	JOHN C. EIDSON	10980749	8189
22878	7590	04/01/2002			
		OLOGIES, INC	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU P.O. BOX 759		OPERTY ADMI	HOLLOWAY III, EDWIN C		
M/S DL429				ARTIQUE	DARED MANADED
LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2635	
				DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/205,115	EIDSON, JOHN C.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Edwin C. Holloway, III	2635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rule of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the period for reply will, by stated the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1:	<u>3 March 1998</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	·	•					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3					



Application/Control Number: 09/205,115

Art Unit: 2635

EXAMINER'S RESPONSE

1. In response to the application filed 12-03-98, the application has been examined. The examiner has considered the presentation of claims in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's opinion that the claims are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this Office action:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leuenberger (US 4346445) and Eidson (US 5566180).

Leuenberger discloses a motion control system synchronized x and y axis with control sections including synchronization pulse circuits 121 and 126 respectively. The x and y sections receive clock inputs from sync clock 131, master clock 105 and slew clock 116. Leuenberger differs from the claimed invention by not including axis control downward with synchronized clocks. See at least fig. 9 and col. 11.

Eidson discloses an analogous art synchronized clock system with nodes including clocks synchronized by a protocol over a network. This assures successful operation of for systems such as process control which depends on accurately knowing times for applying control signals at known times. See at least cols. 1-5.



Application/Control Number: 09/205,115

Art Unit: 2635

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included in Leuenberger the nodes including clocks synchronized by a protocol over a network disclosed in Eidson to assure successful operation of for systems such as process control which depends on accurately knowing times for applying control signals at known times

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Trousdale (US 3887796) discloses a motion control system with synchronized operation. JP 2000-200106 is a Japanese publication corresponding to the instant application.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology center 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is **(703) 305-4700**.

Facsimile submissions may be sent via fax number (703) 872-9314 to customer service for entry by technical support staff. Questions regarding fax submissions should be directed to customer service voice line (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin C. Holloway, III whose telephone number is (703) 305-4818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30:-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on (703) 305-4704.

EH 3/25/02

EDWIN C. HOLLOWAY, III PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2635